FINAL Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Accomplishing Expanded Civilian Nuclear Energy Research and Development and Isotope Production Missions in the United States, Including the Role of the Fast Flux Test Facility

Volume 1 Chapters 1 through 9





Cover photograph and illustration identification, beginning at top center and going clockwise:

- Radioisotope tagged monoclonal antibodies, "smart bullets," target malignant cells for diagnosis and treatment of diseases
- The Fast Flux Test Facility at the Hanford Site near Richland, Washington
- Illustration of a satellite that could use radioisotope power systems
- The High Flux Isotope Reactor at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory near Oak Ridge, Tennessee
- The Advanced Test Reactor at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory near Idaho Falls, Idaho
- · Tip of a remote-handling arm, used for work in developing industrial and medical isotopes

AVAILABILITY OF THE FINAL NI PEIS

General questions regarding this PEIS or for a copy of this PEIS, please contact:

Colette E. Brown, Document Manager Office of Space and Defense Power Systems (NE-50) Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology U.S. Department of Energy 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874 Attention: NI PEIS

Telephone: (877) 562-4593

E-mail: Nuclear.Infrastructure-PEIS@hq.doe.gov

This PEIS is accessible on the Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology web site at www.nuclear.gov.



Printed with soy ink on recycled paper



Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

November 28, 2000

Dear Interested Party:

The Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Accomplishing Expanded Civilian Nuclear Energy Research and Development and Isotope Production Missions in the United States, Including the Role of the Fast Flux Test Facility [NI PEIS]) (DOE/EIS-0310) has now been completed. This document has been prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act and reflects consideration of comments received on the draft NI PEIS released in July 2000.

The Department of Energy (DOE) is responsible for undertaking research and development activities related to development of nuclear power for civilian use, meeting the nuclear material needs of other Federal agencies, and ensuring the availability of isotopes for medical, industrial, and research applications. The NI PEIS presents an evaluation of the potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed expansion of the nuclear irradiation capabilities for accomplishing civilian nuclear energy research and development activities, accommodating the projected growth in demand for medical and industrial isotopes, and production of plutonium-238 to support future National Aeronautics and Space Administration space exploration missions. In addition to the "No Action" alternative, DOE evaluated other alternatives that include using operating facilities within the DOE complex, building a new research reactor, building one or two accelerators, and restarting the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) that is currently in standby status. In addition, the NI PEIS includes an alternative to permanently deactivate FFTF.

After careful consideration of public comments, environmental impacts, and programmatic objectives, DOE's preferred alternative is to use its existing nuclear facility infrastructure to the extent possible to pursue the missions outlined in the PEIS, i.e., Alternative 2, Option 7. DOE would reestablish domestic production of plutonium-238, as needed, using the Advanced Test Reactor in Idaho and the High Flux Isotope Reactor in Tennessee and would process irradiated plutonium-238 targets at the Radiochemical Engineering Development Center in Tennessee. DOE would permanently deactivate FFTF under the "Preferred Alternative." Lack of clear commitments from likely users discouraged the Department from planning to build new facilities or to restart the FFTF. Further details on the Preferred Alternative can be found in the summary and in section 2.8 of volume 1 of this NI PEIS.

We appreciate your continued participation in this decision-making process.

Sincerely,

William D. Magwood, IV, Director Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology

Printed with soy ink on recycled paper

Cover Sheet

Responsible Agency: United States Department of Energy (DOE)

Title: Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Accomplishing Expanded Civilian Nuclear Energy Research and Development and Isotope Production Missions in the United States, Including the Role of the Fast Flux Test Facility (NI PEIS)

Locations: Idaho, Tennessee, Washington

Contacts: For copies of this programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS), call toll-free (877) 562-4593

For additional information on this Final PEIS, contact:

Colette E. Brown, Document Manager
Office of Space and Defense Power
Systems (NE-50)
Office of Nuclear Energy, Science and Technology
U.S. Department of Energy

19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874 Attention: NI PEIS

E 1 1 (077) 562 4

Telephone: (877) 562-4593

For general information on the DOE National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, contact:

Carol M. Borgstrom, Director Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance (EH-42) U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20585 Telephone: (202) 586-4600, or leave a message

at (800) 472-2756

Abstract: Under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the DOE is responsible for ensuring the availability of isotopes for medical, industrial and research applications, meeting the nuclear material needs of other Federal agencies, and undertaking research and development activities related to development of nuclear power for civilian use. To meet these responsibilities, DOE maintains nuclear infrastructure capabilities that support various missions. Current estimates for the future needs of medical and industrial isotopes, plutonium-238, and research requirements indicate that the current infrastructure may soon be insufficient to meet the projected demands. DOE proposes to enhance these capabilities to provide for: (1) production of isotopes for medical and industrial uses, (2) production of plutonium-238 for use in advanced radioisotope power systems for future National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) space exploration missions, and (3) the Nation's nuclear research and development needs for civilian application.

This NI PEIS evaluates the environmental impacts of a No Action Alternative (maintaining status quo), four alternative strategies to accomplish this mission, and an alternative to permanently deactivate the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF), with no new missions. Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 also include permanent deactivation of FFTF. The alternatives are:

No Action

- 1. Restart FFTF at Hanford, Washington
- 2. Use only existing operational facilities
- 3. Construct one or two new accelerators
- 4. Construct a new research reactor
- 5. Permanently deactivate FFTF (with no new missions)

The Preferred Alternative is Alternative 2, Option 7, Use Only Existing Operational Facilities. DOE would reestablish domestic production of plutonium-238, as needed, using the Advanced Test Reactor in Idaho and the High Flux Isotope Reactor in Tennessee, and would process irradiated plutonium-238 targets at the Radiochemical Engineering Development Center in Tennessee. DOE would permanently deactivate FFTF under the Preferred Alternative.

Public Comments: The Draft NI PEIS was issued for public review and comment on July 21, 2000. The comment period ended on September 18, 2000, although late comments were considered to the extent practicable. Public hearings were held to obtain comments on the Draft NI PEIS in Oak Ridge, Tennessee; Idaho Falls, Idaho; Hood River and Portland, Oregon; Seattle and Richland, Washington; and Arlington, Virginia. All comments were considered by DOE in preparing the Final NI PEIS, which also incorporates any new information received since issuance of the Draft NI PEIS. In response to comments on the Draft NI PEIS and as a result of information that was unavailable at the time of the issuance of the Draft PEIS, the Final PEIS contains revisions and new information, indicated by a sidebar in the margin. Volume 3 contains the comments received during the public review period for the Draft NI PEIS and DOE's responses to these comments. DOE will use the analyses presented in the Final NI PEIS as well as other information, including public input, costs, nonproliferation impacts, schedules, technical assurance, and other policy and programmatic objectives, in preparing the Record of Decision for accomplishing expanded civilian nuclear energy research and development and isotope production missions in the United States, including the role of FFTF. DOE will issue the Record of Decision no sooner than 30 days after the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency publishes a notice of availability of the Final NI PEIS in the Federal Register.

Table	of Cont	ents	i
List o	f Figure	s	xxiii
List o	f Tables		xxvi
List o	f Acrony	yms	xxxix
Volu	ne 1		
CI.			
Chap		4•	
	Introd	uction	
1.1	Doolson	eound	
1.1			
1.2	1.2.1		for Agency Action
			ad Industrial Isotope Production
	1.2.2		238 Production for Space Missions
1.2	1.2.3		uclear Energy Research and Development
1.3			de
1.4			uring the Scoping Process
1.5			ng the Public Comment Period on the Draft NI PEIS
	1.5.1		d Need for the Proposed Action
	1.5.2		FFTF Restart on Hanford Cleanup 1–16
	1.5.3		nagement and Spent Nuclear Fuel
	1.5.4		Various Alternatives
	1.5.5		onproliferation Policy
	1.5.6		blvement
	1.5.7		ental Impacts
1.6			ated in This NI PEIS
1.7			riews
1.8			Oraft NI PEIS 1–30
1.9			II PEIS 1–33
1.10	Referei	nces	
OI.			
Chap		D	
	Progra	ım Descript	ion and Alternatives2-1
2.1	Introdu	ction	
2.2			rations
2.2	2.2.1		otopes Production
	2.2.1		Target Fabrication
			Target Irradiation
			Postirradiation Target Processing
	2.2.2		-238 Production
	2.2.2		Target Fabrication
			Target Iradiation
			Postirradiation Target Processing
	2.2.3		uclear Energy Research and Development
2.3			lities
۷.ي		on on raci	1111UD 2—7

	2.3.1	Target Irradiation Facilities
		2.3.1.1 Fast Flux Test Facility
		2.3.1.1.1 Maintenance of FFTF in Standby
		2.3.1.1.2 FFTF Restart and Operation
		2.3.1.1.3 FFTF Fuel Use Option
		2.3.1.1.4 FFTF Irradiation Operations
		2.3.1.1.5 FFTF Deactivation
		2.3.1.2 Advanced Test Reactor
		2.3.1.3 High Flux Isotope Reactor
		2.3.1.4 Commercial Light Water Reactor
		2.3.1.5 New Accelerator(s)
		2.3.1.5.1 Low-Energy Accelerator
		2.3.1.5.2 High-Energy Accelerator
		2.3.1.6 New Research Reactor
	2.3.2	Target Fabrication and Postirradiation Processing Facilities
		2.3.2.1 Radiochemical Engineering Development Center
		2.3.2.2 Fluorinel Dissolution Process Facility
		2.3.2.3 Fuels and Materials Examination Facility
		2.3.2.4 Radiochemical Processing Laboratory and Building 306–E 2–4
		2.3.2.4.1 Radiochemical Processing Laboratory
		2.3.2.4.2 Building 306–E
		2.3.2.5 New Support Facility
2.4	Descri	tion of Transportation Activities
	2.4.1	Purchase of Plutonium-238 from Russia
	2.4.2	Transportation of Plutonium-238 from St. Petersburg, Russia, to the Los Alamos
		National Laboratory
	2.4.3	Transportation of Neptunium-237 from Savannah River Site to Candidate Storage
		Facilities
	2.4.4	Transportation of Mixed Oxide Fuel from Europe to the Fast Flux Test Facility 2–5
	2.4.5	Transportation of Neptunium-237 from Savannah River Site to Target Fabrication
		Facilities
	2.4.6	Transportation of Nonirradiated and Irradiated Targets
	2.4.7	Transportation of Plutonium-238 Product to the Los Alamos National Laboratory 2–5
	2.4.8	Transportation of Materials for Medical Isotope Production
2.5		tion of Alternatives
	2.5.1	No Action Alternative
	2.5.2	Alternative 1—Restart FFTF
	2.5.3	Alternative 2—Use Only Existing Operational Facilities
	2.5.4	Alternative 3—Construct New Accelerator(s)
	2.5.5	Alternative 4—Construct New Research Reactor
2.6	2.5.6	Alternative 5—Permanently Deactivate FFTF (with No New Missions)
2.6		tives Considered and Dismissed
	2.6.1	Irradiation Facilities Dismissed
2.7	2.6.2	Processing Facilities Dismissed
2.7		ry of Environmental Impacts, Schedules, and Mission Effectiveness
	2.7.1	Summary of Environmental Impacts
		2.7.1.1 Radiological and Hazardous Chemical Impacts
		2.7.1.2 Generation and Disposition of Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel 2–7
		2.7.1.3 Water Use
		2.7.1.4 Air Quality

		2.7.1.5		omics		
		2.7.1.6		ation Impacts		
		2.7.1.7	Resource A	Areas Discussed in Less Detail	2–91	
			2.7.1.7.1	Land Use		
			2.7.1.7.2	Visual Resources		
			2.7.1.7.3	Noise		
			2.7.1.7.4	Water Quality		
			2.7.1.7.5	Geology and Soils		
			2.7.1.7.6	Ecology		
			2.7.1.7.7	Cultural Resources		
			2.7.1.7.8	Environmental Justice		
		2.7.1.8		Safety		
	2.7.2	•		dule		
	2.7.3			on Effectiveness Among Alternatives		
2.8						
2.9	Refere	ences			2–104	
Cha	pter 3					
	Affect	ed Enviro	nment		3–1	
2 1	A	och to Dof	ining the Aff	footed Environment	2 1	
3.1				fected Environment		
3.2	Oak Ridge Reservation					
	3.2.1	3.2.1.1				
		3.2.1.1	3.2.1.1.1	General Site Description		
			3.2.1.1.1	Location of Proposed Activities		
		3.2.1.2		•		
		3.2.1.2	3.2.1.2.1	Sources		
			3.2.1.2.1	Location of Proposed Activities		
	3.2.2	Noise		Location of Proposed Activities		
	3.2.2	3.2.2.1		ite Description		
		3.2.2.1		of Proposed Activities		
	3.2.3			n Proposed Activities		
	3.2.3	3.2.3.1	•	ite Description		
		3.2.3.1		of Proposed Activities		
	3.2.4			n Proposed Activities		
	3.2.4	3.2.4.1		ater		
		J.2.T.1	3.2.4.1.1	General Site Description		
			3.2.4.1.2	Location of Proposed Activities		
		3.2.4.2		tter		
		3.2.7.2	3.2.4.2.1	General Site Description		
			3.2.4.2.2	Location of Proposed Activities		
	3.2.5	Geology		Location of Proposed Metivities		
	3.2.3	3.2.5.1		ite Description		
		3.2.5.2		of Proposed Activities		
	3.2.6			S		
	5.2.0	3.2.6.1		Resources		
		J.2.U.1	3.2.6.1.1	General Site Description		
			3.2.6.1.2	Location of Proposed Activities		
		3.2.6.2		Location of Proposed Activities		
		JU				

		3.2.6.2.1	General Site Description	
		3.2.6.2.2	Location of Proposed Activities	
	3.2.6.3	_	esources	
		3.2.6.3.1	General Site Description	
		3.2.6.3.2	Location of Proposed Activities	
	3.2.6.4		and Endangered Species	
		3.2.6.4.1	General Site Description	
		3.2.6.4.2	Location of Proposed Activities	
3.2.7			logical Resources	
	3.2.7.1		Resources	
		3.2.7.1.1	General Site Description	
		3.2.7.1.2	Location of Proposed Activities	
	3.2.7.2		esources	
		3.2.7.2.1	General Site Description	
		3.2.7.2.2	Location of Proposed Activities	
	3.2.7.3		erican Resources	
		3.2.7.3.1	General Site Description	
		3.2.7.3.2	Location of Proposed Activities	
	3.2.7.4		gical Resources	
		3.2.7.4.1	General Site Description	
		3.2.7.4.2	Location of Proposed Activities	
3.2.8				
	3.2.8.1	-	conomic Characteristics	
	3.2.8.2		and Housing	
	3.2.8.3	•	y Services	
		3.2.8.3.1	Education	
		3.2.8.3.2	Public Safety	
		3.2.8.3.3	Health Care	
	3.2.8.4		sportation	
3.2.9	•		th Risk	
	3.2.9.1	Radiation I	Exposure and Risk	
		3.2.9.1.1		
		3.2.9.1.2	Location of Proposed Activities	
	3.2.9.2	Chemical E	Environment	
	3.2.9.3	110001011 2110	ects Studies	
	3.2.9.4		listory	
	3.2.9.5		Preparedness	
3.2.1			9	
3.2.1	1 Waste Ma			
	3.2.11.1		entories and Activities	
	3.2.11.2	0	Radioactive Waste	
	3.2.11.3		c Waste	
	3.2.11.4		Radioactive Waste	
	3.2.11.5		v-Level Radioactive Waste	
	3.2.11.6		Waste	
	3.2.11.7		ous Waste	
	3.2.11.8		imization	
	3.2.11.9		nagement PEIS Records of Decision	
			nd Environmental Laboratory	
3.3.1	Land Res	sources		3-43

3.3

	3.3.1.1					
		3.3.1.1.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.1.1.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
	3.3.1.2	Visual Reso	ources			
		3.3.1.2.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.1.2.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
3.3.2						
	3.3.2.1		e Description			
	3.3.2.2		of Proposed Activities			
3.3.3	_					
	3.3.3.1		e Description			
	3.3.3.2		of Proposed Activities			
3.3.4						
	3.3.4.1		ater			
		3.3.4.1.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.4.1.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
	3.3.4.2		er			
		3.3.4.2.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.4.2.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
3.3.5						
	3.3.5.1		e Description			
_	3.3.5.2		of Proposed Activities			
3.3.6	Ecological Resources					
	3.3.6.1		Resources			
		3.3.6.1.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.6.1.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
	3.3.6.2	Wetlands				
		3.3.6.2.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.6.2.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
	3.3.6.3	•	sources			
		3.3.6.3.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.6.3.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
	3.3.6.4		and Endangered Species			
		3.3.6.4.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.6.4.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
3.3.7			logical Resources			
	3.3.7.1		Resources			
		3.3.7.1.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.7.1.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
	3.3.7.2		sources			
		3.3.7.2.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.7.2.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
	3.3.7.3		erican Resources			
		3.3.7.3.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.7.3.2	Locations of Proposed Activities	3–71		
	3.3.7.4	_	gical Resources	3–71		
		3.3.7.4.1	General Site Description			
		3.3.7.4.2	Locations of Proposed Activities			
3.3.8	Socioecor					
	3.3.8.1	Regional E	conomic Characteristics	3-72		

		2 2 0 2	D 1.1	177	2 72
		3.3.8.2		and Housing	
		3.3.8.3	•	Services	
			3.3.8.3.1	Education	
			3.3.8.3.2	Public Safety	
		2201	3.3.8.3.3	Health Care	
		3.3.8.4		sportation	
	3.3.9	•		th Risk	
		3.3.9.1		Exposure and Risk	
			3.3.9.1.1	General Site Description	
			3.3.9.1.2	Locations of Proposed Activities	
		3.3.9.2		Environment	
		3.3.9.3		ects Studies	
		3.3.9.4		istory	
		3.3.9.5		Preparedness	
	3.3.10			,	
	3.3.11	Waste M	•		
		3.3.11.1		ntories and Activities	
		3.3.11.2		Radioactive Waste	
		3.3.11.3		c and Mixed Transuranic Waste	
		3.3.11.4	Low-Level	Radioactive Waste	. 3–84
		3.3.11.5	Mixed Low	-Level Radioactive Waste	. 3–85
		3.3.11.6	Hazardous	Waste	. 3–85
		3.3.11.7	Nonhazardo	ous Waste	. 3–86
		3.3.11.8	Waste Mini	imization	. 3–86
		3.3.11.9	Waste Man	agement PEIS Records of Decision	. 3–86
3.4	Hanfor	d Site			. 3–88
	3.4.1	Land Res	sources		. 3–91
		3.4.1.1	Land Use		. 3–91
			3.4.1.1.1	General Site Description	. 3–91
			3.4.1.1.2	Locations of Proposed Activities	
		3.4.1.2	Visual Reso	ources	. 3–93
			3.4.1.2.1	General Site Description	. 3–93
			3.4.1.2.2	Locations of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.2	Noise		*	
		3.4.2.1		e Description	
		3.4.2.2		of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.3	Air Quali			
		3.4.3.1		e Description	
		3.4.3.2		of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		3.4.4.1		iter	
		011111	3.4.4.1.1	General Site Description	
			3.4.4.1.2	Locations of Proposed Activities	
		3.4.4.2		er	
		<u>-</u>	3.4.4.2.1	General Site Description	
			3.4.4.2.2	Locations of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.5	Geology			
	5.7.5	3.4.5.1		e Description	
		3.4.5.2		of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.6			ii i ioposcu Activities	3–110
	J.T.U		ar recoourees		J 11/

	2461	Tamastrial Bassansa	117
	3.4.6.1	Terrestrial Resources	
		3.4.6.1.1 General Site Description	
	2462	3.4.6.1.2 Locations of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.6.2	Wetlands	
		3.4.6.2.1 General Site Description	
	2462	3.4.6.2.2 Locations of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.6.3	Aquatic Resources	
		3.4.6.3.1 General Site Description	
	2.4.6.4	3.4.6.3.2 Locations of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.6.4	Threatened and Endangered Species	
		3.4.6.4.1 General Site Description	
2.45	G 1. 1	3.4.6.4.2 Locations of Proposed Activities	
3.4.7		and Paleontological Resources	
	3.4.7.1	Prehistoric Resources	
		3.4.7.1.1 General Site Description	
	 .	3.4.7.1.2 Locations of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.7.2	Historic Resources	
		3.4.7.2.1 General Site Description	
		3.4.7.2.2 Locations of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.7.3	Native American Resources	
		3.4.7.3.1 General Site Description	
		3.4.7.3.2 Locations of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.7.4	Paleontological Resources	
		3.4.7.4.1 General Site Description	
		3.4.7.4.2 Locations of Proposed Activities 3–1	
3.4.8	Socioeco		
	3.4.8.1	Regional Economic Characteristics	
	3.4.8.2	Population and Housing	
	3.4.8.3	Community Services 3–1	
		3.4.8.3.1 Education	
		3.4.8.3.2 Public Safety	
		3.4.8.3.3 Health Care	28
	3.4.8.4	Local Transportation 3–1	28
3.4.9	Existing 1	Human Health Risk 3–1	28
	3.4.9.1	Radiation Exposure and Risk	29
		3.4.9.1.1 General Site Description	
		3.4.9.1.2 Locations of Proposed Activities	
	3.4.9.2	Chemical Environment	31
	3.4.9.3	Health Effects Studies 3–1	132
	3.4.9.4	Accident History 3–1	
	3.4.9.5	Emergency Preparedness	34
3.4.10	Environn	mental Justice	134
3.4.11	Waste Ma	[anagement	35
	3.4.11.1	Waste Inventories and Activities	35
	2 4 11 2	High-Level Radioactive Waste 3–1	138
	3.4.11.2	Tright-Level Radioactive waste	
	3.4.11.3	Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste	
			138
	3.4.11.3	Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste 3–1	138 138
	3.4.11.3 3.4.11.4	Transuranic and Mixed Transuranic Waste	138 138 138

		3.4.11.8	Waste Minimization	3-140			
		3.4.11.9	Waste Management PEIS Records of Decision	3-140			
	3.4.12	Spent Nu	clear Fuel				
3.5	Generic Commercial Light Water Reactor Site						
	3.5.1		ources				
		3.5.1.1	Land Use				
		3.5.1.2	Visual Resources				
	3.5.2	Noise		3-144			
	3.5.3		ty				
	3.5.4		sources				
	3.5.5		and Soils				
	3.5.6		al Resources				
		3.5.6.1	Terrestrial Resources				
		3.5.6.2	Wetlands				
		3.5.6.3	Aquatic Resources				
		3.5.6.4	Threatened and Endangered Species				
	3.5.7		and Paleontological Resources				
	3.3.7	3.5.7.1	Prehistoric Resources				
		3.5.7.2	Historic Resources				
		3.5.7.3	Native American Resources				
		3.5.7.4	Paleontological Resources				
	3.5.8		nomics				
	3.3.0	3.5.8.1	Regional Economic Characteristics				
		3.5.8.2	Population and Housing				
		3.5.8.3	Community Services and Local Transportation				
	3.5.9		Human Health Risk				
	3.3.9	3.5.9.1	Radiation Exposure and Risk				
		3.5.9.1	Chemical Environment				
		3.5.9.2	Health Effects Studies				
		3.5.9.4					
		3.5.9.4	Accident History				
	2 5 10						
			nental Justice				
	3.5.11		anagement				
		3.5.11.1	Waste Inventories and Activities				
			Transuranic Waste	3–153			
		3.5.11.3	Low-Level Radioactive Waste				
		3.5.11.4	Mixed Low-Level Radioactive Waste				
		3.5.11.5	Hazardous Waste				
		3.5.11.6	Nonhazardous Waste				
_		3.5.11.7	Waste Minimization				
3.6			v Accelerator(s) or a New Research Reactor				
	3.6.1		ources				
		3.6.1.1	Land Use				
		3.6.1.2	Visual Resources				
	3.6.2						
	3.6.3	_	ty				
	3.6.4		sources				
	3.6.5		and Soils				
	3.6.6	_	al Resources				
		3.6.6.1	Terrestrial Resources	3-158			

		3.6.6.2	Wetlands		3-159
		3.6.6.3	Aquatic Re	sources	3-159
		3.6.6.4	Threatened	and Endangered Species	3–159
	3.6.7	Cultural a	and Paleonto	logical Resources	3–159
		3.6.7.1	Prehistoric	Resources	3–159
		3.6.7.2	Historic Re	sources	3-160
		3.6.7.3	Native Am	erican Resources	3-160
		3.6.7.4	Paleontolog	gical Resources	3-160
	3.6.8	Socioeco	nomics		3-160
	3.6.9	Existing 1	Human Heal	th Risk	3–161
		3.6.9.1	Radiation E	Exposure and Risk	3–161
		3.6.9.2	Chemical E	Environment	3–162
		3.6.9.3	Health Effe	ects Studies	3–163
		3.6.9.4	Accident H	istory	3–163
		3.6.9.5	Emergency	Preparedness	3–163
	3.6.10	Environn	nental Justice	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3–164
	3.6.11	Waste Ma	anagement.		3-164
		3.6.11.1	Waste Inve	ntories and Activities	3-164
		3.6.11.2	High-Level	Radioactive Waste	3-164
		3.6.11.3	Transuranio	c and Mixed Transuranic Waste	3–165
		3.6.11.4		Radioactive Waste	
		3.6.11.5	Mixed Low	-Level Radioactive Waste	3–165
		3.6.11.6	Hazardous	Waste	3–166
		3.6.11.7	Nonhazard	ous Waste	3–166
		3.6.11.8	Waste Min	imization	3–166
	3.6.12	Spent Nu	clear Fuel .		3–166
3.7	Refere	nces			3–167
~-					
Chaj	oter 4	. 1.4	~		
	Enviro	nmentai (Consequence	es	. 4–1
4.1	Introdu	ation			1 1
4.1 4.2					
4.2	4.2.1			—Option 1	
	4.2.1	4.2.1.1		of Plutonium-238 from Russia	
		4.2.1.1		ce of FFTF in Standby	
		4.2.1.2	4.2.1.2.1	Land Resources	
			4.2.1.2.1	Noise	
			4.2.1.2.2	Air Quality	
			4.2.1.2.3	Water Resources	
			4.2.1.2.4	Geology and Soils	
			4.2.1.2.5	Ecological Resources	
			4.2.1.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
			4.2.1.2.7	Socioeconomics	
			4.2.1.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal Standby	. 4-7
			4.2.1.2.9	Activities	. 4–7
			4.2.1.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Standby Accidents	. 4–9
			4.2.1.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation .	
				Environmental Justice	

		4.2.1.2.13	Waste Management	. 4–11
		4.2.1.2.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	. 4–13
4.2.2	No Actio		e—Option 2	
	4.2.2.1	•	n of Plutonium-238 from Russia	
	4.2.2.2	Transporta	tion and Storage	
		4.2.2.2.1	Land Resources	
		4.2.2.2.2	Noise	
		4.2.2.2.3	Air Quality	
		4.2.2.2.4	Water Resources	. 4–14
		4.2.2.2.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.2.2.2.6	Ecological Resources	. 4–15
		4.2.2.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	. 4–15
		4.2.2.2.8	Socioeconomics	. 4–15
		4.2.2.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	. 4–15
		4.2.2.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	. 4–17
		4.2.2.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	. 4–17
		4.2.2.2.12	Environmental Justice	. 4–18
		4.2.2.2.13	Waste Management	. 4–19
	4.2.2.3	Maintenand	ce of FFTF in Standby	. 4–19
4.2.3	No Actio	n Alternative	e—Option 3	. 4–19
	4.2.3.1	Importation	n of Plutonium-238 from Russia	. 4–19
	4.2.3.2	Transporta	tion and Storage	. 4–19
		4.2.3.2.1	Land Resources	. 4–20
		4.2.3.2.2	Noise	. 4–20
		4.2.3.2.3	Air Quality	. 4–20
		4.2.3.2.4	Water Resources	
		4.2.3.2.5	Geology and Soils	. 4–20
		4.2.3.2.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.2.3.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.2.3.2.8	Socioeconomics	
		4.2.3.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	. 4–22
		4.2.3.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	
		4.2.3.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	
		4.2.3.2.12	Environmental Justice	
		4.2.3.2.13	Waste Management	
	4.2.3.3		ce of FFTF in Standby	
4.2.4			e—Option 4	
	4.2.4.1		n of Plutonium-238 from Russia	
	4.2.4.2	•	tion and Storage	
		4.2.4.2.1	Land Resources	
		4.2.4.2.2	Noise	
		4.2.4.2.3	Air Quality	
		4.2.4.2.4	Water Resources	
		4.2.4.2.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.2.4.2.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.2.4.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		1.2.1.2.1	Cartarar and I disconting from Resources	4/

			10100	G · ·	4 05
			4.2.4.2.8	Socioeconomics	4–2
			4.2.4.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	4 05
				Operations	4–27
			4.2.4.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
				Accidents	
			4.2.4.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation .	
			4.2.4.2.12	Environmental Justice	4-29
			4.2.4.2.13	Waste Management	4-30
		4.2.4.3	Maintenand	ce of FFTF in Standby	4-30
4.3	Alterna	ative 1—Re	estart FFTF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4-31
	4.3.1	Alternativ	ve 1 (Restart	FFTF)—Option 1	4-32
		4.3.1.1	Operations	and Transportation	4-33
			4.3.1.1.1	Land Resources	
			4.3.1.1.2	Noise	
			4.3.1.1.3	Air Quality	
			4.3.1.1.4	Water Resources	
			4.3.1.1.5	Geology and Soils	
			4.3.1.1.6	Ecological Resources	
			4.3.1.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
			4.3.1.1.8	Socioeconomics	4–40
			4.3.1.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	4 41
			421110	Operations	4–4]
			4.3.1.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
				Accidents	
			4.3.1.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation .	
			4.3.1.1.12	Environmental Justice	
			4.3.1.1.13	Waste Management	
			4.3.1.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
	4.3.2	Alternativ		FFTF)—Option 2	
		4.3.2.1	Operations	and Transportation	4-57
			4.3.2.1.1	Land Resources	4–58
			4.3.2.1.2	Noise	4–58
			4.3.2.1.3	Air Quality	4-59
			4.3.2.1.4	Water Resources	
			4.3.2.1.5	Geology and Soils	4–60
			4.3.2.1.6	Ecological Resources	
			4.3.2.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
			4.3.2.1.8	Socioeconomics	
			4.3.2.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
				Operations	4–62
			4.3.2.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	. 02
			4.3.2.1.10	Accidents	4–64
			4.3.2.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation .	
			4.3.2.1.11	Environmental Justice	
			4.3.2.1.12		
				Waste Management	
	122	A 14 a 4 *	4.3.2.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
	4.3.3			FFTF)—Option 3	
		4.3.3.1	•	and Transportation	
			4.3.3.1.1	Land Resources	
			4.3.3.1.2	Noise	4–75

		4.3.3.1.3	Air Quality	
		4.3.3.1.4	Water Resources	
		4.3.3.1.5	Geology and Soils	. 4–77
		4.3.3.1.6	Ecological Resources	. 4–78
		4.3.3.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	. 4–78
		4.3.3.1.8	Socioeconomics	. 4–78
		4.3.3.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	. 4–79
		4.3.3.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	
		4.3.3.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	
		4.3.3.1.12	Environmental Justice	
		4.3.3.1.13	Waste Management	
		4.3.3.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
4.3.4	Alternativ		FFTF)—Option 4	
	4.3.4.1	Operations	and Transportation	
		4.3.4.1.1	Land Resources	
		4.3.4.1.2	Noise	
		4.3.4.1.3	Air Quality	
		4.3.4.1.4	Water Resources	. 4–91
		4.3.4.1.5	Geology and Soils	. 4–91
		4.3.4.1.6	Ecological Resources	. 4–92
		4.3.4.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.3.4.1.8	Socioeconomics	. 4–92
		4.3.4.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	. 4–92
		4.3.4.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	. 4–92
		4.3.4.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	
		4.3.4.1.12	Environmental Justice	
		4.3.4.1.13	Waste Management	
		4.3.4.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
4.3.5	Alternation		FFTF)—Option 5	
	4.3.5.1		and Transportation	
		4.3.5.1.1	Land Resources	
		4.3.5.1.2	Noise	. 4_98
		4.3.5.1.3	Air Quality	
		4.3.5.1.4	Water Resources	
		4.3.5.1.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.3.5.1.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.3.5.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.3.5.1.8	Socioeconomics	
		4.3.5.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	· +-//
		т.Э.Э.1.)	Operations	. 4–99
		4.3.5.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	. 4-95
		+.5.5.1.10	Accidents	. 4–99
		4.3.5.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Transportation	4-102
		4.3.5.1.11	Environmental Justice	
		4.3.5.1.12	Waste Management	
			Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
		T.J.J.1.14	Doom Nuclear Luci Mallazellielle	T-10.

	4.3.6	Alternation	ve 1 (Restart	FFTF)—Option 6	4-103
		4.3.6.1		and Transportation	
			4.3.6.1.1	Land Resources	
			4.3.6.1.2	Noise	4-104
			4.3.6.1.3	Air Quality	
			4.3.6.1.4	Water Resources	
			4.3.6.1.5	Geology and Soils	
			4.3.6.1.6	Ecological Resources	
			4.3.6.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
			4.3.6.1.8	Socioeconomics	
			4.3.6.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	1 105
			1.3.0.1.9	Operations	4_105
			4.3.6.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	4 -103
			4.3.0.1.10	Accidents	4 105
			4.3.6.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and	4-103
			4.3.0.1.11	•	1 100
			126112	Safety—Transportation	
			4.3.6.1.12		
			4.3.6.1.13	Waste Management	
4 4	A 1.		4.3.6.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
4.4				ting Operational Facilities	
	4.4.1			ly Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 1	
		4.4.1.1	•	and Transportation	
			4.4.1.1.1	Land Resources	
			4.4.1.1.2	Noise	
			4.4.1.1.3	Air Quality	
			4.4.1.1.4	Water Resources	
			4.4.1.1.5	Geology and Soils	
			4.4.1.1.6	Ecological Resources	
			4.4.1.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
			4.4.1.1.8	Socioeconomics	4–115
			4.4.1.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
				Operations	4–115
			4.4.1.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
				Accidents	4–118
			4.4.1.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
				Safety—Transportation	4–121
			4.4.1.1.12	Environmental Justice	4-121
			4.4.1.1.13	Waste Management	4-122
			4.4.1.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
		4.4.1.2	Permanent	Deactivation of FFTF	
			4.4.1.2.1	Land Resources	4-123
			4.4.1.2.2	Noise	4–123
			4.4.1.2.3	Air Quality	
			4.4.1.2.4	Water Resources	
			4.4.1.2.5	Geology and Soils	
			4.4.1.2.6	Ecological Resources	
			4.4.1.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
			4.4.1.2.8	Socioeconomics	
			4.4.1.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	. 120
			1. 1.1.4.7	Deactivation Activities	4–125
					T 14-7

		4.4.1.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Deactivation	
			Accidents	4–126
		4.4.1.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Transportation	4-128
		4.4.1.2.12	Environmental Justice	4-128
		4.4.1.2.13	Waste Management	4-128
		4.4.1.2.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	4-128
4.4.2	Alternativ	ve 2 (Use On	aly Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	4–129
	4.4.2.1	Operations	and Transportation	4–129
		4.4.2.1.1	Land Resources	4–129
		4.4.2.1.2	Noise	4–129
		4.4.2.1.3	Air Quality	
		4.4.2.1.4	Water Resources	4–131
		4.4.2.1.5	Geology and Soils	4–131
		4.4.2.1.6	Ecological Resources	4–131
		4.4.2.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.4.2.1.8	Socioeconomics	4–132
		4.4.2.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4–132
		4.4.2.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4–134
		4.4.2.1.11	1	
			Safety—Transportation	
		4.4.2.1.12		
		4.4.2.1.13	\mathcal{C}	
		4.4.2.1.14		
	4.4.2.2		Deactivation of FFTF	
4.4.3			aly Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	
	4.4.3.1	•	and Transportation	
		4.4.3.1.1	Land Resources	
		4.4.3.1.2	Noise	
		4.4.3.1.3	Air Quality	
		4.4.3.1.4	Water Resources	
		4.4.3.1.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.4.3.1.6	Ecological Resources	4–143
		4.4.3.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.4.3.1.8	Socioeconomics	4–144
		4.4.3.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4–144
		4.4.3.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4–147
		4.4.3.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
		4 4 0 4 4 5	Safety—Transportation	
		4.4.3.1.12	Environmental Justice	
		4.4.3.1.13	\mathcal{E}	
		4.4.3.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
	4.4.3.2		Deactivation of FFTF	
4.4.4			aly Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 4	
	4.4.4.1	•	and Transportation	
		4.4.4.1.1	Land Resources	4-156

		4.4.4.1.2	Noise	4–156
		4.4.4.1.3	Air Quality	4-156
		4.4.4.1.4	Water Resources	4-156
		4.4.4.1.5	Geology and Soils	4-157
		4.4.4.1.6	Ecological Resources	4-157
		4.4.4.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.4.4.1.8	Socioeconomics	
		4.4.4.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4-157
		4.4.4.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4-159
		4.4.4.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Transportation	4-163
		4.4.4.1.12	Environmental Justice	
		4.4.4.1.13	Waste Management	4-164
		4.4.4.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	4-164
	4.4.4.2	Permanent	Deactivation of FFTF	
4.4.5	Alternativ		lly Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 5	
	4.4.5.1		and Transportation	
		4.4.5.1.1	Land Resources	4-165
		4.4.5.1.2	Noise	4-165
		4.4.5.1.3	Air Quality	4-165
		4.4.5.1.4	Water Resources	4-166
		4.4.5.1.5	Geology and Soils	4-166
		4.4.5.1.6	Ecological Resources	4-166
		4.4.5.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4-166
		4.4.5.1.8	Socioeconomics	4-166
		4.4.5.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4–167
		4.4.5.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4–168
		4.4.5.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Transportation	4–173
		4.4.5.1.12	Environmental Justice	4–174
		4.4.5.1.13	Waste Management	4–174
		4.4.5.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	4–175
	4.4.5.2		Deactivation of FFTF	
4.4.6		·	lly Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 6	
	4.4.6.1		and Transportation	
		4.4.6.1.1	Land Resources	
		4.4.6.1.2	Noise	4–175
		4.4.6.1.3	Air Quality	
		4.4.6.1.4	Water Resources	
		4.4.6.1.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.4.6.1.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.4.6.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.4.6.1.8	Socioeconomics	4–177
		4.4.6.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4–177

		4.4.6.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4-178
		4.4.6.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Transportation	4-183
		4.4.6.1.12	Environmental Justice	4-184
		4.4.6.1.13	Waste Management	4-184
		4.4.6.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
	4.4.6.2	Permanent	Deactivation of FFTF	
4.4.7	Alternativ		ly Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 7	
	4.4.7.1		and Transportation	
		4.4.7.1.1	Land Resources	4-185
		4.4.7.1.2	Noise	4–186
		4.4.7.1.3	Air Quality	4–186
		4.4.7.1.4	Water Resources	
		4.4.7.1.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.4.7.1.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.4.7.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.4.7.1.8	Socioeconomics	
		4.4.7.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4–188
		4.4.7.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4-189
		4.4.7.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Transportation	4–193
		4.4.7.1.12	Environmental Justice	
		4.4.7.1.13	Waste Management	4–194
		4.4.7.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
	4.4.7.2	Permanent	Deactivation of FFTF	
4.4.8	Alternativ		ly Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 8	
	4.4.8.1		and Transportation	
		4.4.8.1.1	Land Resources	
		4.4.8.1.2	Noise	4-195
		4.4.8.1.3	Air Quality	4–196
		4.4.8.1.4	Water Resources	
		4.4.8.1.5	Geology and Soils	4–196
		4.4.8.1.6	Ecological Resources	4–196
		4.4.8.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.4.8.1.8	Socioeconomics	
		4.4.8.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4-197
		4.4.8.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4–199
		4.4.8.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Transportation	4-203
		4.4.8.1.12	Environmental Justice	
		4.4.8.1.13	Waste Management	
		4.4.8.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
	4.4.8.2		Deactivation of FFTF	
4.4.9	Alternativ		ly Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 9	
	4.4.9.1		and Transportation	

		4.4.9.1.1	Land Resources	4-205
		4.4.9.1.2	Noise	4-206
		4.4.9.1.3	Air Quality	4-206
		4.4.9.1.4	Water Resources	4-206
		4.4.9.1.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.4.9.1.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.4.9.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.4.9.1.8	Socioeconomics	
		4.4.9.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4-207
		4.4.9.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4-209
		4.4.9.1.11	Public and Occupational Health and	. =0>
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Safety—Transportation	4_213
		4.4.9.1.12	Environmental Justice	
		4.4.9.1.13	Waste Management	
		4.4.9.1.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
	4.4.9.2		Deactivation of FFTF	
4.5			v Accelerator(s)	
1			act New Accelerator[s])—Option 1	
	4.5.1.1	,	on of the New Accelerator(s) and Support Facility	
	4.5.1.1	4.5.1.1.1	Land Resources	
		4.5.1.1.2	Noise	
		4.5.1.1.3	Air Quality	
		4.5.1.1.4	Water Resources	
		4.5.1.1.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.5.1.1.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.5.1.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.5.1.1.8	Socioeconomics	
		4.5.1.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	T 223
		4.5.1.1.)	Construction Activities	4_223
		4.5.1.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Construction	1 -223
		4.3.1.1.10	Accidents	1 223
		4.5.1.1.11	Environmental Justice	
		4.5.1.1.12	Waste Management	
	4.5.1.2		and Transportation	
	4.3.1.2	4.5.1.2.1	Land Resources	
		4.5.1.2.1	Noise	
		4.5.1.2.3	Air Quality	
		4.5.1.2.3	Water Resources	
		4.5.1.2.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.5.1.2.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.5.1.2.7	Socioeconomics	
		4.5.1.2.8		4-230
		4.5.1.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	4 220
		451210	Operations	4–230
		4.5.1.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	4 222
		151011	Accidents	4–233
		4.5.1.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and	4 225
			Safety—Transportation	4–233

		4.5.1.2.12	Environmental Justice	4-237
		4.5.1.2.13	Waste Management	4-237
	4.5.1.3	Decontamin	nation and Decommissioning of the Accelerator(s) and Support	
		Facility		4-239
		4.5.1.3.1	Land Resources	4-239
		4.5.1.3.2	Noise	4-239
		4.5.1.3.3	Air Quality	4-240
		4.5.1.3.4	Water Resources	4-240
		4.5.1.3.5	Geology and Soils	4-240
		4.5.1.3.6	Ecological Resources	4-241
		4.5.1.3.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4-241
		4.5.1.3.8	Socioeconomics	4-241
		4.5.1.3.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Decontamination and Decommissioning Activities	4-241
		4.5.1.3.10	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Decontamination and Decommissioning Accidents .	4-242
		4.5.1.3.11	Environmental Justice	4-242
		4.5.1.3.12	Waste Management	4-243
	4.5.1.4	Permanent	Deactivation of FFTF	4-243
4.5.2	Alternativ	e 3 (Constru	act New Accelerator[s])—Option 2	4-243
	4.5.2.1	Construction	on of the New Accelerator(s) and Support Facility	4-243
	4.5.2.2	Operations	and Transportation	4-243
		4.5.2.2.1	Land Resources	4-244
		4.5.2.2.2	Noise	4-244
		4.5.2.2.3	Air Quality	4-244
		4.5.2.2.4	Water Resources	4-244
		4.5.2.2.5	Geology and Soils	4-245
		4.5.2.2.6	Ecological Resources	4-245
		4.5.2.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4-246
		4.5.2.2.8	Socioeconomics	4-246
		4.5.2.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4-246
		4.5.2.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4-248
		4.5.2.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Transportation	4–251
		4.5.2.2.12	Environmental Justice	4–252
		4.5.2.2.13	Waste Management	4–252
	4.5.2.3	Decontamin	nation and Decommissioning of the Accelerator(s) and Support	
	4.5.2.4		Deactivation of FFTF	
4.5.3	Alternativ		act New Accelerator[s])—Option 3	
	4.5.3.1		on of the New Accelerator(s) and Support Facility	
	4.5.3.2	Operations	and Transportation	
		4.5.3.2.1	Land Resources	4–253
		4.5.3.2.2	Noise	
		4.5.3.2.3	Air Quality	4–254
		4.5.3.2.4	Water Resources	
		4.5.3.2.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.5.3.2.6	Ecological Resources	4-255

			4.5.3.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
			4.5.3.2.8	Socioeconomics	4–256
			4.5.3.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
				Operations	4–256
			4.5.3.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility Accidents	1_258
			4.5.3.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and	4 230
			4.3.3.2.11	Safety—Transportation	<i>1</i> _261
			4.5.3.2.12	Environmental Justice	
				Waste Management	
		4.5.3.3		nation and Decommissioning of the Accelerator(s) and Support	
		4.3.3.3			
		4.5.3.4		Deactivation of FFTF	
4.6	A 14 a				
4.0				Research Reactor	
	4.6.1			ict New Research Reactor)—Option 1	
		4.6.1.1		on of the New Research Reactor and Support Facility	
			4.6.1.1.1	Land Resources	
			4.6.1.1.2	Noise	
			4.6.1.1.3	Air Quality	
			4.6.1.1.4	Water Resources	
			4.6.1.1.5	Geology and Soils	
			4.6.1.1.6	Ecological Resources	
			4.6.1.1.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
			4.6.1.1.8	Socioeconomics	4–270
			4.6.1.1.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
				Construction Activities	4-270
			4.6.1.1.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Construction	
				Accidents	4-271
			4.6.1.1.11	Environmental Justice	4-271
			4.6.1.1.12	Waste Management	4-271
		4.6.1.2	Operations	and Transportation	
			4.6.1.2.1	Land Resources	
			4.6.1.2.2	Noise	
			4.6.1.2.3	Air Quality	
			4.6.1.2.4	Water Resources	
			4.6.1.2.5	Geology and Soils	
			4.6.1.2.6	Ecological Resources	
			4.6.1.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
			4.6.1.2.8	Socioeconomics	
			4.6.1.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	4-211
			4.0.1.2.9	Operations	4 277
			161210	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	4-211
			4.6.1.2.10	*	4 270
			161011	Accidents	4-219
			4.6.1.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and	4 202
			4 6 1 2 12	Safety—Transportation	
			4.6.1.2.12	Environmental Justice	
			4.6.1.2.13	Waste Management	
			4.6.1.2.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	4–285
		4.6.1.3		nation and Decommissioning of the Research Reactor and	
			Support Fac	cility	4–285

		4.6.1.3.1	Land Resources	
		4.6.1.3.2	Noise	
		4.6.1.3.3	Air Quality	4–286
		4.6.1.3.4	Water Resources	4-286
		4.6.1.3.5	Geology and Soils	4-286
		4.6.1.3.6	Ecological Resources	4-286
		4.6.1.3.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4-286
		4.6.1.3.8	Socioeconomics	
		4.6.1.3.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Decontamination and Decommissioning Activities	4-287
		4.6.1.3.10	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Decontamination and Decommissioning Accidents.	4-288
		4.6.1.3.11	Environmental Justice	
			Waste Management	
		4.6.1.3.13	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
	4.6.1.4		Deactivation of FFTF	
4.6.2			act New Research Reactor)—Option 2	
7.0.2	4.6.2.1		on of the New Research Reactor and Support Facility	
	4.6.2.2		and Transportation	
	4.0.2.2	4.6.2.2.1	Land Resources	
		4.6.2.2.1	Noise	
		4.6.2.2.3		
			Air Quality	
		4.6.2.2.4	Water Resources	
		4.6.2.2.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.6.2.2.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.6.2.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.6.2.2.8	Socioeconomics	4–293
		4.6.2.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	
			Operations	4–293
		4.6.2.2.10	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Facility	
			Accidents	4–295
		4.6.2.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and	
			Safety—Transportation	
		4.6.2.2.12	Environmental Justice	4–298
		4.6.2.2.13	Waste Management	4–298
		4.6.2.2.14	Spent Nuclear Fuel Management	
	4.6.2.3	Decontamin	nation and Decommissioning of the Research Reactor and	
		Support Fac	cility	4-298
	4.6.2.4	Permanent	Deactivation of FFTF	4-299
4.6.3	Alternativ	e 4 (Constru	act New Research Reactor)—Option 3	4-299
	4.6.3.1		on of the New Research Reactor and Support Facility	
	4.6.3.2		and Transportation	
		4.6.3.2.1	Land Resources	
		4.6.3.2.2	Noise	
		4.6.3.2.3	Air Quality	
		4.6.3.2.4	Water Resources	
		4.6.3.2.5	Geology and Soils	
		4.6.3.2.6	Ecological Resources	
		4.6.3.2.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	
		4.6.3.2.8	Socioeconomics	
		r. O. J. 4. O	DOMOGRAPH TO THE PROPERTY OF T	T 2014

			4.6.3.2.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety—Normal	4 202
			4.6.3.2.10	Operations	4-302
			4.6.3.2.10	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 204
			4 6 2 2 11	Accidents	4-304
			4.6.3.2.11	Public and Occupational Health and	4 200
			4 6 2 2 12	Safety—Transportation	
			4.6.3.2.12	Environmental Justice	
				Waste Management	
		4 - 0 0	4.6.3.2.14		4–308
		4.6.3.3		nation and Decommissioning of the Research Reactor and	
				cility	
		4.6.3.4		Deactivation of FFTF	
4.7			-	eactivate FFTF (with No New Missions)	
4.8					
	4.8.1		•	t ORR	
		4.8.1.1		equirements	
		4.8.1.2	Air Quality		4–314
		4.8.1.3	Public and	Occupational Health and Safety—Normal Operations	4–314
		4.8.1.4	Waste Man	agement	4-315
	4.8.2	Cumulat	ive Impacts a	t INEEL	4-315
		4.8.2.1	Resource R	equirements	4-316
		4.8.2.2	Air Quality	,	4-317
		4.8.2.3		Occupational Health and Safety—Normal Operations	
		4.8.2.4	Waste Man	agement	4-319
	4.8.3	Cumulat		t Hanford	
		4.8.3.1		equirements	
		4.8.3.2			
		4.8.3.3		Occupational Health and Safety—Normal Operations	
		4.8.3.4		agement	
		4.8.3.5		ear Fuel Management	
	4.8.4		•	t the Generic CLWR Site	
	4.8.5			t the New Accelerator(s) Generic DOE Site	
	4.8.6		•	t the New Research Reactor Generic DOE Site	
	4.8.7		•	f Transportation	
4.9					
4.10	_			mental Impacts	
4.11				erm Use of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity	
4.12		•		Commitments of Resources	
4.13					
4.13		•			
4.14	Kelele	nces			4-331
Chap	ter 5				
Chap		able Law	s, Regulatior	ns, and Other Requirements	5–1
5 1	Envis	nmantal C	lafatry and II.	polith Laws Decidetions Eventury Orders and U.S.	
5.1			-	ealth Laws, Regulations, Executive Orders, and U.S.	5_1
	5.1.1			l, Safety, and Health Laws and Regulations	
	5.1.1			, and Health Executive Orders	
	5.1.2			Safety, and Health Regulations and Orders	
	5.1.3			Laws, Regulations, and Agreements	
	J.1.4	State Ell	vironniental L	aws, regulations, and Agreements	. 2-10

<i>-</i> 0	D. F. of Marian I. S. and D. Lo	0
5.2 5.3	Radioactive Material Packaging and Transportation Regulations	
3.3	Emergency Management and Response Laws, Regulations, and Executive Orders 5–1 5.3.1 Emergency Management and Response Federal Laws 5–1	
	5.3.2 Emergency Management and Response Federal Regulations	
<i>5</i> 1	5.3.3 Emergency Response and Management Executive Orders	U
5.4	Consultations with Federal, State, and Local Agencies and Federally Recognized Native American Groups	.1
Chaj	oter 6	
	List of Preparers 6–	-1
Cha	oter 7	
-	Distribution List	-1
Chaj	oter 8	
_	Glossary 8–	-1
Chaj	oter 9	
	Index 9–	-1

List of Figures

Volume 1

Figure 2–1	Chemical Processing Flowsheet for Irradiated Neptunium-237 Target Processing 2–8
Figure 2–2	Fast Flux Test Facility
Figure 2–3	FFTF Complex
Figure 2–4	Cutaway of the Reactor Containment Building
Figure 2–5	Vertical Cross Section of the ATR Vessel
Figure 2–6	Plan View (Cross Section) of ATR
Figure 2–7	Typical Transuranic Isotope Production Target
Figure 2–8	Plan View (Cross Section) of HFIR
Figure 2–9	Plan View (Cross Section) of a Generic CLWR
Figure 2–10	CLWR Fuel Assembly
Figure 2–11	Accelerator Production of Plutonium Plant Layout
Figure 2–12	Representative Illustration of Fuel Rod; Neptunium-237, Medical, or Industrial Radioisotope Target Rod; and Control Rod (New Research Reactor)
Figure 2–13	Cross-Sectional View of Fuel Assemblies in the Core (New Research Reactor) 2–33
Figure 2–14	Cross-Sectional View of Research Reactor Core
Figure 2–15	REDC Building 7930 Second Floor Plan View (Cross Section)
Figure 2–16	Fluorinel Dissolution Process Facility, Plus 28-Foot Level
Figure 2–17	Plan View of FDPF Cell
Figure 2–18	FMEF Layout, Minus 35-Foot Level
Figure 2–19	RPL: Proposed First Floor Locations for Hot Cell Operations and Radiochemical and Radioanalytical Laboratories for FFTF Target Processing
Figure 2–20	RPL: Proposed Basement Locations for Assembly, Processing, and Storage of FFTF Targets; Also Shown: Laboratory with Radon Gas Capture System to be Used for Processing Radium-226 Targets
Figure 2–21	Building 306–E Floor Plan
Figure 2–22	Support Facility Layout
Figure 2–23	Public Risks Due to Radiological Accidents at Candidate Sites (35 Years) 2–74
Figure 2–24	Public Risks Due to Radiological Accidents at Candidate Facilities (35 Years) 2–74
Figure 2–25	Population Residing Within 16 Kilometers (10 Miles) of Candidate Fabrication and Processing Facilities
Figure 2–26	Annual Water Use Under the Nuclear Infrastructure Alternatives
Figure 2–27	Public Risks Due to Radiological Transportation Accidents (35 Years) 2–89

	Figure 2–28	Radiological Risks to the Public Due to Incident-Free Transportation (35 Years) 2–90
	Figure 2–29	Highway Distances That Would Be Traveled Under the Alternatives (35 Years) 2–90
	Figure 2–30	Implementation Schedule for No Action Alternative
	Figure 2–31	Implementation Schedule for Alternative 1
	Figure 2–32	Implementation Schedule for Alternative 2
	Figure 2–33	Implementation Schedule for Alternative 3
	Figure 2–34	Implementation Schedule for Alternative 4
	Figure 2–35	Implementation Schedule for Alternative 5
	Figure 3–1	Generalized Land Use at Oak Ridge Reservation and Vicinity
	Figure 3–2	Surface Water Features at Oak Ridge Reservation
	Figure 3–3	Stratigraphic Column for the Oak Ridge Reservation
	Figure 3–4	Geologic Cross Section of the Oak Ridge Reservation
	Figure 3–5	Distribution of Plant Communities at the Oak Ridge Reservation
	Figure 3–6	Generalized Land Use at Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory and Vicinity
	Figure 3–7	Surface Water Features at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
	Figure 3–8	Extent of Tritium and Strontium-90 Plumes within the Snake River Plain Aquifer on the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (1995)
	Figure 3–9	Lithologic Logs of Deep Drill Holes on Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
	Figure 3–10	Major Geologic Features of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
	Figure 3–11	Distribution of Plant Communities at Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory
	Figure 3–12	Generalized Land Use at the Hanford Site and Vicinity
	Figure 3–13	Surface Water Features at the Hanford Site
	Figure 3–14	Flood Area for the Probable Maximum Flood and Columbia River 1948 Flood 3–103
	Figure 3–15	Flood Area of a 50 Percent Breach of the Grand Coulee Dam 3–104
	Figure 3–16	Stratigraphic Column for the Pasco Basin and Hanford Site
	Figure 3–17	Geologic Cross Section of the Hanford Site
	Figure 3–18	Average Tritium Concentrations on the Hanford Site, Top of Unconfined Aquifer 3–110
	Figure 3–19	Average Iodine-129 Concentrations on the Hanford Site, Top of Unconfined Aquifer
l	Figure 3–20	Average Nitrate Concentrations on the Hanford Site. Top of Unconfined Aguifer 3–112

Figure 3–21	Distribution of Plant Communities at the Hanford Site	3–118
Figure 3–22	Commercial Pressurized-Water Reactors Operating in the United States	3–143

List of Tables

Volume 1

Table 1–1 Table 2–1 Table 2-2 Examples of HFIR-Produced Radioisotopes of Current Interest for Therapy 2–23 Table 2-3 Irradiation Facilities Considered but Dismissed from Further Evaluation 2–67 Table 2-4 Table 2–5 Processing Facilities Considered but Dismissed from Further Evaluation 2–70 Table 2-6 Comparison Among Alternatives: Impacts on Occupational and Public Health and Table 2–7 Comparison of Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel Generation Among Alternatives 2–78 Table 2-8 Air Pollutant Concentrations Resulting from Construction of a High-Energy Air Pollutant Concentrations Resulting from Construction of a New Research Table 2-9 Table 2-10 Air Pollutant Concentrations Expected from Operation of the Radiochemical Table 2–11 Air Pollutant Concentrations Expected from Operation of the Fluorinel Dissolution Table 2–12 Comparison Among Alternatives: Impacts on Criteria Air Pollutants at the Table 2–13 Air Pollutant Concentrations Expected from Operation of the Emergency Diesel Table 2-14 Air Pollutant Concentrations Expected from Operation of the Emergency Diesel Table 2–15 Comparisons Among Alternatives: Change in Direct Jobs Under the Nuclear Table 2-16 Comparison Among Alternatives: Impacts of Transportation on Occupational and Public Health and Safety2–88 Table 2–17 Table 2–18 Table 2–19

Table 3–1	General Regions of Influence for the Affected Environment	3–2	
Table 3–2	Comparison of Modeled Ambient Air Concentrations from Oak Ridge Reservation Sources with Most Stringent Applicable Standards or Guidelines, 1998	3–8	
Table 3–3	Comparison of Modeled Ambient Air Concentrations from Sources at HFIR and REDC with Most Stringent Applicable Standards or Guidelines	3–10	
Table 3–4	The Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale of 1931, with Approximate Correlations to Richter Scale and Maximum Ground Acceleration	3–19	
Table 3–5	Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the ORR Region of Influence, 1998	3–27	
Table 3–6	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the ORR Vicinity Unrelated to ORR Operations	3–29	
Table 3–7	Radiation Doses to the Public from ORR Normal Operations in 1998	3–29	
Table 3–8	Radiation Doses to Workers from ORR Normal Operations in 1998	3–30	
Table 3–9	Radiation Doses to the Public from Normal Operations at HFIR and REDC in 1999 .	3–31	
Table 3–10	Radiation Doses to Workers from HFIR and REDC Normal Operations	3–31	
Table 3–11	Waste Generation Rates and Inventories at ORR and ORNL	3–35	
Table 3–12	Waste Generation Rates at HFIR and REDC	3–35	
Table 3–13	Waste Management Capabilities at ORR	3–36	
Table 3–14	Waste Management PEIS Records of Decision Affecting ORR	3–42	
Table 3–15	Comparison of Modeled Ambient Air Concentrations from INEEL Sources with Most Stringent Applicable Standards or Guidelines	3–49	
Table 3–16	Prevention of Significant Deterioration Increment Consumption at Craters of the Moon Wilderness (Class I) Area by Existing (1996) and Projected Sources Subject to Prevention of Significant Deterioration Regulation	3–50	
Table 3–17	Prevention of Significant Deterioration Increment Consumption at Class II Areas by Existing (1996) and Projected Sources Subject to Prevention of Significant Deterioration Regulation at INEEL	3–50	
Table 3–18	Comparison of Modeled Ambient Air Concentrations from ATR Sources with Most Stringent Applicable Standards or Guidelines	3–51	
Table 3–19	Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the INEEL Region of Influence, 1997	3–72	
Table 3–20	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the INEEL Vicinity Unrelated to INEEL Operations	3–75	
Table 3–21	Radiation Doses to the Public from INEEL Normal Operations in 1998	3–75	
Table 3–22	Radiation Doses to Workers from INEEL Normal Operations in 1998	3–76	
Table 3–23	Radiation Doses to the Public from Normal Operations at ATR and ATR Critical Facility in 1999	3–77	

Table 3–24	Radiation Doses to Workers from the Test Reactor Area and ATR Normal Operations in 1998	3–77
Table 3–25	Waste Generation Rates and Inventories at INEEL	3-80
Table 3–26	Waste Generation Rates at ATR and FDPF	3-81
Table 3–27	Waste Management Capabilities at INEEL	3-81
Table 3–28	Waste Management PEIS Records of Decision Affecting INEEL	3-87
Table 3–29	Comparison of Modeled Ambient Air Concentrations from Hanford Sources with Most Stringent Applicable Standards or Guidelines	3–97
Table 3–30	Distribution of Employees by Place of Residence in the Hanford Region of Influence, 1997	3–127
Table 3–31	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Hanford Vicinity Unrelated to Hanford Operations	3–129
Table 3–32	Radiation Doses to the Public from Hanford Normal Operations in 1998	3–130
Table 3–33	Radiation Doses to Workers from Hanford Normal Operations in 1998	3–130
Table 3–34	Waste Generation Rates and Inventories at Hanford	3–136
Table 3–35	Waste Generation Rates at FFTF and RPL/306–E	3–136
Table 3–36	Waste Management Capabilities at Hanford	3–137
Table 3–37	Waste Management PEIS Records of Decision Affecting Hanford	3–141
Table 3–38	Comparison of Baseline Air Concentrations with Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at the Generic CLWR Site	3–145
Table 3–39	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity Unrelated to Operation at the CLWR Site	3–150
Table 3–40	Radiation Doses to the Public from Normal Operation in 1994 at the Generic Existing CLWR Site	3–150
Table 3–41	Annual Doses to Workers from Normal Operation at the Generic CLWR Site	3-151
Table 3–42	Existing Pressurized-Light Water Reactor Site Waste Management Characteristics .	3–153
Table 3–43	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations with NAAQS at a Generic DOE Site	3–157
Table 3–44	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity Unrelated to Operation at the Accelerator(s) or Reactor Site	3–161
Table 3–45	Radiation Doses to the Public from Normal Operation at the Accelerator(s) or Reactor Site	3–162
Table 3–46	Annual Doses to Workers from Normal Operation at the Accelerator(s) or Reactor Site	3–162
Table 4–1	Water Use and Wastewater Generation Associated with Maintaining FFTF in Standby Under All Options of the No Action Alternative	. 4–5

Table 4–2	Radiological Impacts on the Public Around Hanford from Maintaining FFTF in Standby Under All Options of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–3	Radiological Impacts on FFTF Workers from Maintaining FFTF in Standby Under All Options of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–4	FFTF Standby Accident Consequences Under All Options of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–5	FFTF Standby Accident Risks Under All Options of the No Action Alternative 4–10
Table 4–6	Waste Management Impacts of Maintaining FFTF in Standby Under All Options of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–7	Radiological Impacts on the Public Around ORR from Storage in REDC Under Option 2 of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–8	Radiological Impacts on ORR Workers from Operational Facilities Under Option 2 of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–9	Radiological Impacts on the Public Around INEEL from Operational Facilities Under Option 3 of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–10	Radiological Impacts on INEEL Workers from Operational Facilities Under Option 3 of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–11	Radiological Impacts on the Public Around Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Option 4 of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–12	Radiological Impacts on Hanford Workers from Operational Facilities Under Option 4 of the No Action Alternative
Table 4–13	Incremental Hanford Concentrations Associated with Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1
Table 4–14	PSD Class II Increments Compared to Hanford Concentrations Associated with FFTF Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1
Table 4–15	Incremental ORR Concentrations Associated with Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1
Table 4–16	Incremental Water Use and Wastewater Generation Associated with Operating FFTF and RPL/306–E at Hanford and REDC at ORR Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1
Table 4–17	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around ORR and Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1
Table 4–18	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved REDC, FFTF, and RPL Workers Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1
Table 4–19	Incremental Hazardous Chemical Impacts Associated with FFTF Emergency Diesel Generators at Hanford Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1
Table 4–20	Incremental Hazardous Chemical Impacts on the Public Around ORR Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1
Table 4–21	FFTF, REDC, and RPL Accident Consequences Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1

Table 4–22	FFTF, REDC, and RPL Accident Risks Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1	1–47
Table 4–23	Incremental Waste Management Impacts of Operating FFTF and RPL/306–E at Hanford Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1	1– 50
Table 4–24	Incremental Waste Management Impacts of Operating REDC at ORR Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 1	1– 51
Table 4–25	Data for Spent Nuclear Fuel Generation and Storage Under All Options of Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)	1–55
Table 4–26	Environmental Impact of Dry Spent Nuclear Fuel Storage System Under All Options of Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)	1 –57
Table 4–27	Incremental INEEL Concentrations Associated with Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	1– 59
Table 4–28	PSD Class II Increments Compared to INEEL Concentrations Associated with Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	1– 59
Table 4–29	Incremental Water Use and Wastewater Generation Associated with Operating FDPF at INEEL Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	1–6 0
Table 4–30	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around INEEL and Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	1–63
Table 4–31	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved FDPF, FFTF, and RPL Workers Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	1–64
Table 4–32	Incremental Hazardous Chemical Impacts on the Public Around INEEL Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	1–65
Table 4–33	FFTF, RPL, and FDPF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	1–66
Table 4–34	FFTF, RPL, and FDPF Accident Risks Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	I–67
Table 4–35	ERPG Distances for Nitric Acid Releases at FDPF 4	I–68
Table 4–36	FDPF Hazardous Chemical Accident Impacts Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	1 –69
Table 4–37	Incremental Waste Management Impacts of Operating FDPF at INEEL Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 2	1 –72
Table 4–38	Incremental Hanford Concentrations Associated with Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3	I–76
Table 4–39	PSD Class II Increments Compared to Hanford Concentrations Associated with FMEF Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3	I–76
Table 4–40	Incremental Water Use and Wastewater Generation Associated with Operating FFTF and FMEF at Hanford Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3	1 –77
Table 4–41	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3	1– 79

Table 4–42	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved FFTF and FMEF Workers Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3
Table 4–43	Incremental Hazardous Chemical Impacts on the Public at Hanford Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3
Table 4–44	FFTF and FMEF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3
Table 4–45	FFTF and FMEF Accident Risks Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3
Table 4–46	ERPG Distances for Nitric Acid Releases at FMEF
Table 4–47	FMEF Hazardous Chemical Accident Impacts Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3
Table 4–48	Incremental Waste Management Impacts of Operating FFTF and FMEF at Hanford Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 3
Table 4–49	FFTF, REDC, and RPL Accident Consequences Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 4
Table 4–50	FFTF, REDC, and RPL Accident Risks Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 4
Table 4–51	FFTF, FDPF, and RPL Accident Consequences Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 5
Table 4–52	FFTF, FDPF, and RPL Accident Risks Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 5
Table 4–53	FFTF and FMEF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 6
Table 4–54	FFTF and FMEF Accident Risks Under Alternative 1 (Restart FFTF)—Option 6 4–107
Table 4–55	Incremental ORR Concentrations Associated with Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 1
Table 4–56	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around INEEL and ORR from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 1
Table 4–57	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved INEEL and ORR Workers from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 1
Table 4–58	Incremental Hazardous Chemical Impacts on the Public Around ORR Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 1
Table 4–59	ATR and REDC Accident Consequences Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 1
Table 4–60	ATR and REDC Accident Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 1
Table 4–61	Incremental Hanford Concentrations Associated with All Options of Alternatives 2 through 5

Table 4–62	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around Hanford from FFTF Deactivation Activities	4–126
Table 4–63	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved FFTF Workers from Deactivation Activities	4–126
Table 4–64	Consequences of FFTF Deactivation Accident	4–127
Table 4–65	Risks of FFTF Deactivation Accident	4–127
Table 4–66	Incremental INEEL Concentrations Associated with Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	4–130
Table 4–67	PSD Class II Increments Compared to INEEL Concentrations Associated with Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	4–130
Table 4–68	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around INEEL from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	4–133
Table 4–69	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved INEEL Workers from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	4–133
Table 4–70	Incremental Hazardous Chemical Impacts on the Public Around INEEL Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	
Table 4–71	ATR and FDPF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	4–135
Table 4–72	ATR and FDPF Accident Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	4–136
Table 4–73	ERPG Distances for Nitric Acid Releases at FDPF Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	4–137
Table 4–74	FDPF Hazardous Chemical Accident Impacts Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 2	4–138
Table 4–75	Incremental Hanford Concentrations Associated with Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–141
Table 4–76	PSD Class II Increments Compared to Hanford Concentrations Associated with Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–141
Table 4–77	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around INEEL and Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–145
Table 4–78	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved INEEL and Hanford Workers from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–146
Table 4–79	Incremental Hazardous Chemical Impacts on the Public Around Hanford Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–146
Table 4–80	ATR and FMEF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–148

Table 4–81	ATR and FMEF Accident Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–149
Table 4–82	ERPG Distances for Nitric Acid Releases at FDPF Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–150
Table 4–83	FMEF Hazardous Chemical Accident Impacts Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–151
Table 4–84	Incremental Waste Management Impacts of Operating FMEF at Hanford Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 3	4–153
Table 4–85	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around the Generic CLWR Site and ORR from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 4	4–158
Table 4–86	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved CLWR and ORR Workers from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 4	4–159
Table 4–87	Generic CLWR and REDC Accident Consequences Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 4	4–161
Table 4–88	Generic CLWR and REDC Accident Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 4	4–162
Table 4–89	Generic CLWR Early Fatalities and Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 4	4–163
Table 4–90	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around the Generic CLWR Site and INEEL from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 5	4–167
Table 4–91	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved CLWR and INEEL Workers from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 5	4–168
Table 4–92	Generic CLWR and FDPF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 5	4–170
Table 4–93	Generic CLWR and FDPF Accident Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 5	4–171
Table 4–94	Generic CLWR Early Fatalities and Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 5	4–172
Table 4–95	ERPG Distances for Nitric Acid Releases at FDPF Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 5	4–172
Table 4–96	FDPF Hazardous Chemical Accident Impacts Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 5	4–173
Table 4–97	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around the Generic CLWR Site and Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 6	4–177

Table 4–98	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved CLWR and Hanford Workers from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 6	4–178
Table 4–99	Generic CLWR and FMEF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 6	4–180
Table 4–100	Generic CLWR and FMEF Accident Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 6	4–181
Table 4–101	Generic CLWR Early Fatalities and Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 6	4–182
Table 4–102	ERPG Distances for Nitric Acid Releases at FMEF Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 6	4–182
Table 4–103	FMEF Hazardous Chemical Accident Impacts Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 6	4–183
Table 4–104	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around INEEL and ORR from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 7	4–188
Table 4–105	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved INEEL and ORR Workers from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 7	4–189
Table 4–106	ATR, HFIR, and REDC Accident Consequences Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 7	4–191
Table 4–107	ATR, HFIR, and REDC Accident Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 7	4–192
Table 4–108	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around ORR and INEEL from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 8	4–198
Table 4–109	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved ORR and INEEL Workers from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 8	4–198
Table 4–110	ATR, HFIR, and FDPF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 8	4–200
Table 4–111	ATR, HFIR, and FDPF Accident Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 8	4–201
Table 4–112	ERPG Distances for Nitric Acid Releases at FDPF Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 8	4–202
Table 4–113	FDPF Hazardous Chemical Accident Impacts Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 8	4–203
Table 4–114	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around INEEL, ORR, and Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 9	4–208

Table 4–115	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved INEEL, ORR, and Hanford Workers from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 9
Table 4–116	ATR, HFIR, and FMEF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 9
Table 4–117	ATR, HFIR, and FMEF Accident Risks Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 9
Table 4–118	ERPG Distances for Nitric Acid Releases at FMEF Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 9
Table 4–119	FMEF Hazardous Chemical Accident Impacts Under Alternative 2 (Use Only Existing Operational Facilities)—Option 9
Table 4–120	Incremental Concentrations Associated with High-Energy Accelerator Construction Under All Options of Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])
Table 4–121	Estimated Water Use and Wastewater Generation Associated with Constructing New Accelerator(s) and Support Facility Under All Options of Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])
Table 4–122	Estimated Waste Generation Associated with Constructing New Accelerator(s) and Support Facility Under All Options of Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])
Table 4–123	Incremental Concentrations Associated with High-Energy Accelerator Operation Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 1
Table 4–124	Estimated Water Use and Wastewater Generation Associated with Operating Accelerator(s) and Support Facility Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 1
Table 4–125	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around the Generic DOE Site and ORR from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 1
Table 4–126	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved Workers at the Generic DOE Site and ORR from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 1
Table 4–127	Incremental Hazardous Chemical Impacts on the Public Around a Generic Site from High-Energy Accelerator Operation Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 1
Table 4–128	New Accelerator(s), Support Facility, and REDC Accident Consequences Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 1
Table 4–129	New Accelerator(s), Support Facility, and REDC Accident Risks Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 1
Table 4–130	Estimated Waste Generation Rates of Operating New Accelerator(s) and Support Facility Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 1
Table 4–131	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved Workers at the Generic DOE Site from Accelerator(s) and Support Facility Decontamination and Decommissioning Activities Under All Options of Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s]) 4–242

Table 4–132	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around the Generic DOE Site and INEEL from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 2	4–247
Table 4–133	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved Workers at the Generic DOE Site and INEEL from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 2	4–248
Table 4–134	New Accelerator(s), Support Facility, and FDPF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 2	4–249
Table 4–135	New Accelerator(s), Support Facility, and FDPF Accident Risks Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 2	4–250
Table 4–136	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around the Generic DOE Site and Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 3	4–257
Table 4–137	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved Workers at the Generic DOE Site and Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 3	4–258
Table 4–138	New Accelerator(s), Support Facility, and FMEF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 3	4–259
Table 4–139	New Accelerator(s), Support Facility, and FMEF Accident Risks Under Alternative 3 (Construct New Accelerator[s])—Option 3	4–260
Table 4–140	Incremental Concentrations Associated with Research Reactor Construction Under All Options of Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)	4–267
Table 4–141	Estimated Water Use and Wastewater Generation Associated with Constructing a New Research Reactor and Support Facility Under All Options of Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)	4–268
Table 4–142	Estimated Waste Generation Associated with Constructing a New Research Reactor and Support Facility Under All Options of Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)	4–272
Table 4–143	Incremental Concentrations Associated with Research Reactor Operation Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 1	4–273
Table 4–144	Estimated Water Use and Wastewater Generation Associated with Operating a New Research Reactor and Support Facility Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 1	
Table 4–145	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around the Generic DOE Site and ORR from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 1	4–278
Table 4–146	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved Workers at the Generic DOE Site and ORR from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 1	4–278
Table 4–147	Incremental Hazardous Chemical Impacts from New Research Reactor Diesel Generator Operation Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 1	4–279

Table 4–148	New Research Reactor, Support Facility, and REDC Accident Consequences Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 1	4–280
Table 4–149	New Research Reactor, Support Facility, and REDC Accident Risks Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 1	4–281
Table 4–150	Estimated Waste Generation Rates of Operating a New Research Reactor and Support Facility Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 1	4–283
Table 4–151	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved Workers at the Generic DOE Site from the Research Reactor and Support Facility Decontamination and Decommissioning Activities Under All Options of Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)	4–288
Table 4–152	Research Reactor and Support Facility Decontamination and Decommissioning Accident Consequences Under All Options of Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)	4–288
Table 4–153	Research Reactor and Support Facility Decontamination and Decommissioning Accident Risks Under All Options of Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)	4–289
Table 4–154	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around the Generic DOE Site and INEEL from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 2	4–294
Table 4–155	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved Workers at the Generic DOE Site and INEEL from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 2	4–294
Table 4–156	New Research Reactor, Support Facility, and FDPF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 2	4–295
Table 4–157	New Research Reactor, Support Facility, and FDPF Accident Risks Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 2	4–296
Table 4–158	Incremental Radiological Impacts on the Public Around the Generic DOE Site and Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 3	4–303
Table 4–159	Incremental Radiological Impacts on Involved Workers at the Generic DOE Site and Hanford from Operational Facilities Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 3	4–303
Table 4–160	New Research Reactor, Support Facility, and FMEF Accident Consequences Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 3	4–305
Table 4–161	New Research Reactor, Support Facility, and FMEF Accident Risks Under Alternative 4 (Construct New Research Reactor)—Option 3	4–306
Table 4–162	Other Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Actions Considered in the Cumulative Impact Assessment	4–312
Table 4–163	Maximum Cumulative Resource Use and Impacts at ORR	4–313
Table 4–164	Maximum Cumulative Air Pollutant Concentrations at ORR for Comparison with	4_314

Table 4–165	Maximum Cumulative Radiation Impacts at ORR	4–315
Table 4–166	Cumulative Impacts on Waste Management Activities at ORR Over 35-Year Period	4–316
Table 4–167	Maximum Cumulative Resource Use and Impacts at INEEL	4–317
Table 4–168	Maximum Cumulative Air Pollutant Concentrations at INEEL for Comparison with Ambient Air Quality Standards	4–318
Table 4–169	Maximum Cumulative Radiation Impacts at INEEL	4–319
Table 4–170	Cumulative Impacts on Waste Management Activities at INEEL Over 35-Year Period	4–320
Table 4–171	Maximum Cumulative Resource Use and Impacts at Hanford	4-321
Table 4–172	Maximum Cumulative Air Pollutant Concentrations at Hanford for Comparison with Ambient Air Quality Standards	4–322
Table 4–173	Maximum Cumulative Radiation Impacts at Hanford	4-323
Table 4–174	Cumulative Impacts on Waste Management Activities at Hanford Over 35-Year Period	4–324
Table 4–175	Average Occupational Total Recordable Cases and Fatality Rates	4–330
Table 4–176	Industrial Safety Impacts from Construction and Operation	4–330
Table 5–1	Relevant DOE Orders (as of October 26, 2000)	5–15
Table 5–2	State Environmental Laws, Regulations, and Agreements	5–16
Table 5–3	Organizations Contacted During the Consultation Process	5-22

List of Acronyms

AAA	Advanced Accelerator Applications	
ALARA	as low as is reasonably achievable	
ALOHA	Area Locations of Hazardous Atmospheres	
ATR	Advanced Test Reactor	
ATW	Accelerator Transmutation of Waste	
BEIR	Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation	ı
BLIP CEQ	Brookhaven LINAC Isotope Producer Council on Environmental Quality	
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act	-
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	
CLWR	commercial light water reactor	
CPP-651	Chemical Processing Plant 651 (Building CPP–651)	
dBA	decibels A-weighted	
DOD	U.S. Department of Defense	
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy	
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation	
EIS EPA	environmental impact statement U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	
FDPF	Fluorinel Dissolution Process Facility	
FFTF	Fast Flux Test Facility	
FMEF	Fuels and Materials Examination Facility	
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact	
FPF	Fuel Processing Facility	
FR	Federal Register	
g	gravitational acceleration	
Hanford	Hanford Site	
HB HEPA	horizontal beam	
HFIR	high-efficiency particulate air (filter) High Flux Isotope Reactor	
HVAC	heating, ventilating, and air conditioning	
I^4	International Isotopes Idaho, Inc.	
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency	
IEM	Interim Examination and Maintenance Cell	
INEEL	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	
INTEC	Idaho Nuclear Technology and Engineering Center	
IPF	Isotope Production Facility	
ISC3	Industrial Source Complex Industrial Source Complex (short town model)	
ISCST3 LANL	Industrial Source Complex (short-term model) Los Alamos National Laboratory	
LANSCE	Los Alamos Neutron Science Center	ı
LCF	latent cancer fatality	i
LINAC	linear accelerator	i
MASF	Maintenance and Storage Facility	Ċ
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration	
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act	,
NEPO	Nuclear Energy Plant Optimization	

NERAC Nuclear Energy Research Advisory Committee

NERI Nuclear Energy Research Initiative

NESHAPs National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NI PEIS Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Accomplishing Expanded Civilian

Nuclear Energy Research and Development and Isotope Production Missions in the United

States, Including the Role of the Fast Flux Test Facility

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NRC U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ORNL Oak Ridge National Laboratory

ORR Oak Ridge Reservation

OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

PCAST President's Committee of Advisors on Science and Technology

PEIS programmatic environmental impact statement

P.L. Public Law

 $PM_{2.5}$ particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal 2.5 microns PM_{10} particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to 10 microns

PNNL Pacific Northwest National Laboratory RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

REDC Radiochemical Engineering Development Center

RPL Radiochemical Processing Laboratory

SNL Sandia National Laboratories

SRPS Stirling radioisotope power systems

SRS Savannah River Site

SRTG Small Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generator SST/SGT safe, secure trailer/SafeGuards Transport

TEDF Treated Effluent Disposal Facility

TRIGA training, research, isotopes General Atomics (reactor)

UZrH uranium-zirconium-hydride

U.S.C. United States Code
USGS U.S. Geological Survey
WAG waste area grouping

WIPP Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

Y–12 Y–12 Plant